

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

June 2008 Grand Jury

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Criminal Case No. **08 CR 2125**
Plaintiff,) **I N D I C T M E N T**
v.) Title 8, U.S.C.,
ROBERTO JAYME MEDINA (1),) Sec. 1324(a)(2)(B)(ii) -
JOSE MARTINEZ-GONZALEZ (2),) Bringing in Illegal Aliens for
Defendants.) Financial Gain; Title 18, U.S.C.,
Sec. 2 - Aiding and Abetting;
Title 8, U.S.C.,
Secs. 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii) and
(v)(II) - Transportation of
Illegal Aliens and Aiding and
Abetting

The grand jury charges:

Count 1

On or about June 9, 2008, within the Southern District of California, defendants ROBERTO JAYME MEDINA and JOSE MARTINEZ-GONZALEZ, with the intent to violate the immigration laws of the United States, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that an alien, namely, Jorge Estrada-Ceja, had not received prior official authorization to come to, enter and reside in the United States, did bring to the United States said alien for the purpose of commercial advantage and private financial gain; in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1324(a)(2)(B)(ii), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

CEM:fer:San Diego
6/25/08

Count 2

On or about June 9, 2008, within the Southern District of California, defendants ROBERTO JAYME MEDINA and JOSE MARTINEZ-GONZALEZ, with the intent to violate the immigration laws of the United States, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that an alien, namely, Jorge Estrada-Ceja, had come to, entered and remained in the United States in violation of law, did transport and move said alien within the United States in furtherance of such violation of law; in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Sections 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii) and (v)(II).

Count 3

On or about June 9, 2008, within the Southern District of California, defendants ROBERTO JAYME MEDINA and JOSE MARTINEZ-GONZALEZ, with the intent to violate the immigration laws of the United States, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that an alien, namely, Paulo Estrada-Ceja, had not received prior official authorization to come to, enter and reside in the United States, did bring to the United States said alien for the purpose of commercial advantage and private financial gain; in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1324(a)(2)(B)(ii), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

Count 4

On or about June 9, 2008, within the Southern District of California, defendants ROBERTO JAYME MEDINA and JOSE MARTINEZ-GONZALEZ, with the intent to violate the immigration laws of the United States, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that an alien, namely, Paulo Estrada-Ceja, had come to, entered and remained in the United States in violation of law, did transport and move said alien within the United States in furtherance of such violation of law; in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Sections 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii) and (v)(II).

Count 5

On or about June 9, 2008, within the Southern District of California, defendants ROBERTO JAYME MEDINA and JOSE MARTINEZ-GONZALEZ, with the intent to violate the immigration laws of the United States, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that an alien, namely, Conrado Arteaga-Rodriguez, had not received prior official authorization to come to, enter and reside in the United States, did bring to the United States said alien for the purpose of commercial advantage and private financial gain; in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1324(a)(2)(B)(ii), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

//

//

//

//

//

//


//

Count 6


On or about June 9, 2008, within the Southern District of California, defendants ROBERTO JAYME MEDINA and JOSE MARTINEZ-GONZALEZ, with the intent to violate the immigration laws of the United States, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that an alien, namely, Conrado Arteaga-Rodriguez, had come to, entered and remained in the United States in violation of law, did transport and move said alien within the United States in furtherance of such violation of law; in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Sections 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii) and (v)(II).

DATED: June 25, 2008.

A TRUE BILL:


Foreperson

KAREN P. HEWITT
United States Attorney

By: 
CALEB E. MASON
Assistant U.S. Attorney